

John Waite
Flat 2/114 Blakesley Road
Birmingham
West Midlands
B258RP

24th of September 2022

Pippa Mills
Chief Constable
Hereford Constabulary
Hereford
Hereford and Worcester

NOTICE NUMBER: 2200065347
VEHICLE REGISTRATION: WR69WK

Dear Chief Constable,

NOTICE OF REJECTION OF OFFER

I have today received a letter from someone called Michael Seath.

Whilst 'Michael Seath acknowledges your receipt of my earlier communication with your good self, clearly he or she has chosen only to apply those parts of my communicate that they think applicable and appear to have chosen to ignore the rest. Which is of course unacceptable and potentially damaging for you and every police force nationwide, the reasons for that statement will become obvious as you read further.

Whilst I have confirmed (Notice I didn't use the word 'admitted') that I was the driver in question at the time of the 'alleged' offence (though I am still at a loss as to who was offended by my actions), 'Michael Seath' has completely ignored the fact that you are, because of the case law I provided to you, unable to use the information I gave in any proceeding against me.

I reiterate below for your edification once more.

As this statement is provided under the threat of criminal penalty which is in direct contravention of the ruling in the case of Funke v/s France in the ECtHR and furthermore as I have not received the caution required by paragraph 10.1 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act code C, nor made a mechanical signature in any form pursuant to the ruling in Mawdesley v/s the Chief Constable of Cheshire [2004] 1 All e.R 58, I make this statement on the express understanding that it shall not be used or disclosed in any proceedings of whatsoever nature against myself.

Now, Chief Constable, I assume you are an intelligent man, you have after climbed the greasy pole of corporate policing to rise to a very prominent position (for which I congratulate you), I am therefore at a loss why you've allowed 'Michael Seath' to 'assume' that I am going to:-

- A) Pay a fine
- B) Take a course

I am, you will understand, not going to take part in either of those activities which leaves you with one course and one course only.

For and on the record, I am not 'electing' to have the matter dealt with by a court, if you choose that route then it's YOU who is electing to deal with the matter in that way and please be assured I will defend the matter vehemently using the case law I have provided and some other mechanisms of law that I have at my disposal and that the burden of proof is wholly upon you, the accuser. I have to prove nothing.

Therefore, I am not going to take the third option offered to me by 'Michael Seath' and you will understand that this notice to you is not an election by me to have the matter dealt with by a court it is simply a rejection of all three options on 'Michael Seath's' computer screen.

For and on the record, if you wish to take the matter to a court, you will understand that I will exercise my inalienable right to have the matter dealt with by a Jury as I do not recognise Courts De Facto as they are unlawful in this common law jurisdiction we call England.

As stated in Halsburys:-

'All courts of administration are unlawful and can never be legislated into existence because of the constraints placed upon her majesty at her coronation' (The sage words of Lord Justice Denning no less)

So before we deal with the matter of whether or not you can prosecute me without a signed confession, before we get to the rulings I have provided to you previously and before we get to the matter of PACE S.10.1 Code C, we would of course have to have the supreme court rule on the constitutional matter at hand. (Is your court lawful per Halsburys / Denning LJ).

You of course understand that the ONLY thing that can convict me of **any** crime is a Jury, a magistrate acting in the cosy cabal of the camera partnership which has as its partners, The Magistrates Courts, The Local Council and **Hereford Police force** cannot therefore be a Judge in his own cause. I **therefore will exercise my right to Jury trial** should you wish to proceed the matter to an administrative court (A Court De Facto ... a court without a Jury).

Given that you cannot enter my notice to you that I was the driver at the time of the alleged offence into evidence in any court I am intrigued to see how, without my signed confession you will manage to:-

- A) Provide an eye witness before a jury who can testify that they saw me commit the offence.
- B) Convince a Jury that I committed a crime where no harm or loss has occurred and where there is no injured party.

I imagine it would be a very interesting day in court and if as I suspect it doesn't go the way you might hope then you can be sure that I will broadcast the result far and wide using

social media and other vehicles which will of course mean that you, Chief Constable would be personally responsible for opening the floodgates for the same defence to be used in tens of thousands of similar cases across the country by the great British public, who are by the way, wholly sick and tired (as am I) of being hammered by inordinate fines and punishments for minor infractions.

I feel sure you do not want that as the epitaph to what appears to me to be an unblemished career record. I am sure if you do your homework (as have I) you will find cases in the UK identical to this one have already been thrown out of courts because there is no signed confession, and you know as well as I that no law exists which you can use to make anyone sign your confession and you are not above the law.

The ECHR has ruled decisively on this matter and there is case precedent in the UK in identical circumstances meaning the rule of 'Stare Decisis' will apply (and I will ensure a Jury are aware of that).

However you must do what you must do and I will act accordingly.

I trust that now having been notified of my position, my rejection of all three options on 'Squiggles' computer screen and your inability to use anything I have said in any court (Notwithstanding the complete and utter failure of your responsibilities under PACE S.10.1 Code C), that you will advise 'Squiggle' that he/she should get back in his/her box and stop bothering people who know the law and will exercise it to stop your tyranny.

Without ill-will, vexation or frivolity.

john waite